doi: 10.2110/sedred.2016.3 Volume 14, No. 3, September 2016

Record

A publication of SEPM Society for Sedimentary Geology with the Sedimentary Geology Division of GSA

Published under Creative Commons (CC BY-NC 4.0)

he

INSIDE: THE STRATIGRAPHIC RECORD OF SUBMARINE-CHANNEL EVOLUTION PLUS: PRESIDENT'S COMMENTS, UPCOMING RESEARCH CONFERENCES, SGD NEWS, SEPM 2017 SCIENCE AWARDS, SEPM NEW MEDAL AWARD



SEPM BOOKSTORE



Special Publication #105

Deposits, Architecture, and Controls of Carbonate Margin, Slope, and Basinal Settings

Edited by: Klaas Verwer, Ted E. Playton, and Paul M. (Mitch) Harris

Carbonate margin, slope and basinal depositional environments, and their transitions, are highly dynamic and heterogeneous components of carbonate platform systems. Carbonate slopes are of particular interest because they form repositories for volumetrically significant amounts of sediment produced from nearly all carbonate environments, and form the links between shallow-water carbonate platform settings where prevailing in situ factories reside and their equivalent deeper-water settings dominated by resedimentation processes. Slope environments also provide an extensive stratigraphic record that, although is preserved differently than platform-top or basinal strata, can be utilized to unravel the growth evolution, sediment factories, and intrinsic to extrinsic parameters that control carbonate platform systems. In addition to many stimulating academic aspects of carbonate margin, slope, and basinal settings, they are increasingly recognized as significant conventional hydrocarbon reservoirs as well. The papers in this volume, which are drawn from the presentations made at the AAPG Annual Meeting in Long Beach, California (USA), in May 2012, as well as solicited submissions, provide insights into the spectrum of deposit types, stratal configurations, styles of growth, spatial architectures, controlling factors behind variations, and the hydrocarbon reservoir potential observed across the globe in these systems. The sixteen papers in this Special Publication include conceptual works, subsurface studies and outcrop studies, and are grouped into sections on conceptual works or syntheses, margin to basin development and controlling factors, architecture and controls on carbonate margins, and carbonate distal slope and basin floor development.

Articles for this volume are available for purchase at the SEPM Online First webpage! http://www.sepm.org/OnlineFirst.aspx Catalog #40105 • List Price, Print/CD: \$100.00/\$80.00 • Member Price, Print/CD: \$60.00/\$48.00

Concepts in Sedimentology and Paleontology 12

Mudstone Primer: Lithofacies Variations, Diagnostic Criteria, and Sedimentologic–Stratigraphic Implications at Lamina to Bedset Scales

By: Remus Lazar, Kevin M. Bohacs, Juergen Schieber, Joe Macquaker, and Timothy Demko

More than two-thirds of the sedimentary record is composed of rocks dominated by grains smaller than 62.5 micrometers. These fine-grained sedimentary rocks serve as sources, reservoirs, and seals of hydrocarbons, influence the flow of groundwater, and can be rich in metals. These rocks have long been mined for clues into the past global carbon, oxygen, sulfur, and silica cycles, and associated climate and oceanography. These rocks are heterogeneous at many scales and formed via a range of depositional processes. Recent developments in drilling and completion technologies have unlocked significant hydrocarbon reserves in fine-grained sedimentary rocks and have triggered an explosion of interest in the sedimentology, stratigraphy, and diagenesis of these rocks. This Mudstone Primer covers this variability to better characterization and interpretation of mudstones. Definitions of key terms and a naming scheme for mudstones are provided followed with practical steps for studying mudstones in thin sections. Additional guidelines and a set of tools that facilitate consistent, repeatable, and efficient (time wise) description and capture of mudstone variability at thin section, core, and outcrop scale are included in seven appendices. This Mudstone Primer includes hundreds of Paleozoic to Tertiary examples of physical, biological, and chemical features that illustrate mudstone heterogeneity at lamina to bedset scales. The authors hope that individual workers will take the provided examples and interpretations and use them to enhance their own investigation strategies.



Mudstone Primer:

Catalog #85012 • Spiral Bound copy - SEPM Member Price; \$57.00 • Hard Cover copy - SEPM Member Price; \$69.00

New Books Coming Soon!

Autogenic Dynamics in Sedimentary Systems,

edited by David Budd, Elizabeth Haiek, and Sam Purkis Papers from this volume are currently posted at Online First. SEPM members can view for free plus pay-per-view downloads.

New Advances in Devonian Carbonates: Outcrop Analogs, Reservoirs, and Chronostratigraphy.

edited by Ted Playton, Charles Kerans, and John Weissenberger

Latitudinal Controls on Stratigraphic Models and Sedimentary Concepts (joint volume with AAPG). edited by Carmen Fraticelli, Allard Martinius, John Suter, and Paul Markwick

As papers are approved by authors they will be published to the SEPM Online First webpage. Papers will remain at this site until their volumes are published. SEPM Members will be able to view papers for free at the website. https://sepm.org/OnlineFirst.aspx



Cover image: Three-dimensional view of an aggrading sinuous submarine channel model with along-channel slope variability, showing the complex architecture in a dip and a strike section. Flow from lower left to upper right. Sand-rich channel deposits are shown in yellow and mud-rich overbank units in grey. by Covault et al.

CONTENTS

- 4 The Stratigraphic Record of Submarine-Channel Evolution
- 12 President's Comments
- 12 Upcoming Research Conferences
- 13 SGD News
- 15 SEPM 2017 Science Awards
- 16 SEPM New Medal Award

The Sedimentary Record (ISSN 1543-8740) is published quarterly by the Society for Sedimentary Geology with offices at 4111 S. Darlington, Suite 100, Tulsa , OK 74135-6373, USA.

Copyright 2016, Society for Sedimentary Geology.All rights reserved. Opinions presented in this publication do not reflect official positions of the Society.

The Sedimentary Record is provided as part of membership dues to the Society for Sedimentary Geology.

Editors

Scott E Thornton sethornton@aol.com The Burmah Oil Company Limited

SEPM Staff

4111 S. Darlington, Suite 100, Tulsa, OK 74135-6373
Phone (North America): 800-865-9765
Phone (International): 918-610-3361
Dr. Howard Harper, Executive Director
hharper@sepm.org
Theresa Scott, Associate Director & Business Manager
tscott@sepm.org
Michele Tomlinson, Managing Editor, SEPM Publications
mtomlinson@sepm.org
Cassie Turley, Deputy Business Manager
cturley@sepm.org
Hayley Cooney, Membership Coordinator
hcooney@sepm.org

SEPM Council

Vitor Abreu, President vitor_abreu@yahoo.com Maria Mutti, President-Elect mmutti@geo.uni-potsdam.de Jean Hsieh, Secretary-Treasurer jhsieh@repsol.com John J.G. Reijmer, International Councilor j.j.g.reijmer@vu.nl David Bottjer, Councilor for Paleontology dbottjer@usc.edu Mike Blum, Councilor for Sedimentology mblum@ku.edu Elizabeth Hajek, Councilor for Research Activities hajek@psu.edu Jeremy Krimmel, Web & Technology Councilor jeremy.krimmel@gmail.com Kristin Bergmann, Early Career Councilor kdberg@mit.edu Hannah Hilbert-Wolf, Student Councilor hilbertwolf@gmail.com Gary Hampson, Co-Editor, JSR g.j.hampson@imperial.ac.uk Leslie Melim, Co-Editor, JSR LA-Melim@wiu.edu Tom Olszewski, Co-Editor, PALAIOS tolszewski17@gmail.com Gabriela Mangano, Co-Editor, PALAIOS gabriela.mangano@usask.ca Brian Ricketts, Editor, Special Publications brian.ricketts@xtra.co.nz **Rick Sarg, President, SEPM Foundation** jsarg@mines.edu

www.sepm.org

The Stratigraphic Record of Submarine-Channel Evolution

Jacob A. Covault¹

¹Bureau of Economic Geology, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA

Zoltan Sylvester²

²Chevron Energy and Technology Company, 1500 Louisiana, Houston, TX, USA

Stephen M. Hubbard³

³Department of Geoscience, University of Calgary, 2500 University Dr NW, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, T2N 1N44 Zane R. Jobe⁴

⁴Chevron Center of Research Excellence, Department of Geology and Geological Engineering, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado 80401, USA

Richard P. Sech²

ABSTRACT

Submarine-channel systems record basin-margin sediment dispersal and can host significant natural resources. We review the facies architecture (i.e., facies heterogeneity and stacking patterns) of outcropping submarine-channel systems, focusing on the Cretaceous Tres Pasos Formation, Magallanes basin, southern Chile. The fundamental building block of submarine-channel systems is the channel-fill architectural element. A channel fill comprises thick-bedded turbidite sandstone deposited in the deepest segment of the bounding channel surface (i.e., the thalweg), which transitions laterally to thin-bedded heterolithic deposits in the margins.

Submarine-channel fills stack to form composite channel systems, which commonly exhibit an evolution from early channel incision and lateral migration to late-stage aggradation. The incising-to-aggrading trajectory of a submarine-channel system is likely influenced by adjustments toward an equilibrium gradient that is established and maintained by feedbacks between the slope and overriding sediment-gravity flows. A steep slope will promote swift flows that are erosive; a more gradual gradient will promote sluggish flows that aggrade sediment. A combination of these two processes brings the channel floor closer to an equilibrium gradient. Changes in sediment-gravity-flow properties driven by allogenic controls, such as eustatic sea-level change, have also been linked to the incising-to-aggrading trajectory of channel systems. We illustrate the evolution of channel systems with a surface-based stratigraphic forward model. The model allows us to visualize the three-dimensional (3D) stacking patterns of channel systems, which control heterogeneity and sand body connectivity in channelized hydrocarbon reservoirs. Future research opportunities include the interpretation of stratigraphic products integrated with direct monitoring of turbidity currents, physical experiments, and numerical modeling to understand the 3D facies architecture and stratigraphic evolution of channel systems.

INTRODUCTION

Submarine channels are conduits for sediment-gravity flows that sculpt continental margins as they carry terrigenous sediment to the deep sea (Piper and Normark, 2001). Sediment-gravity flows are mixtures of sediment and water in which the sediment component pulls interstitial water down slope under the influence of gravity (Bagnold, 1962; Middleton and Hampton, 1973). Submarine channels are important components of deep-sea fans, which comprise canyon, channel, levee-and-distal-overbank, and depositionallobe architectural elements (Mutti and Normark, 1987; Normark et al., 1993; Piper and Normark, 2001; Posamentier and Kolla, 2003). Submarine canyons transition to U-shaped, lower-relief channels with levee-and-distal-overbank deposits across the slope and rise of continental margins. Channels can extend across the seafloor for hundreds to thousands of kilometers (Covault et al., 2011; 2012), and their deposits can host significant hydrocarbon resources (Mayall et al., 2006).

Submarine-channel evolution is a result of the interaction between the seafloor within and around the channel, and overriding sediment-gravity flows. Sediment-gravity flows have rarely been directly observed in the ocean (Talling et al., 2015). However, recent monitoring data record the hourly to annual interaction between submarine channels and sediment-gravity flows (e.g., Zeng et al., 1991; Xu et al., 2004; Paull et al., 2010; Conway et al., 2012; Cooper et al., 2013; Sumner and Paull, 2014; Talling et al., 2015; Hughes Clarke, 2016). These data underscore the short-term transience of seafloor geomorphology and multi-phase bed reworking, local deposition, and bypass of sediment-gravity flows active during channel initiation, maintenance, and filling (e.g., Covault et al., 2014). Furthermore, insights from monitoring have inspired reinterpretation of outcropping sedimentary rocks (e.g., Fildani et al., 2013; Hubbard et al., 2014; Postma et al., 2014; Bain and Hubbard, 2016; Pemberton et al., 2016). Missing from the short-term record of monitoring is a longer-term perspective, which is afforded by outcropping and subsurface stratigraphic successions (e.g., Deptuck et al., 2003; Hubbard et al., 2014).

Here we summarize the facies architecture and stratigraphic evolution of outcropping submarine-channel systems. Many outcropping channel fills exhibit a common facies architecture of thick-bedded sandstone deposited in the deepest segment of the bounding channel surface (i.e., the thalweg) that transitions laterally to thin-bedded heterolithic deposits in

the margins (Beaubouef et al., 1999; Pyles et al., 2010; Hubbard et al., 2014). Channel fills are also associated with scour surfaces draped by variable mudstone-rich units (Barton et al., 2010; Alpak et al., 2013; Macauley and Hubbard, 2013).

Subsurface and outcropping channel systems form a composite record of stacked channel fills, recording an evolution from early channel incision and lateral migration to late-stage aggradation (Peakall et al., 2000; Deptuck et al., 2003; Hodgson et al., 2011; Sylvester et al., 2011). We illustrate the incising-to-aggrading trajectory of a channel system in a 3D surface-based stratigraphic forward model. The model records the 3D stacking patterns of a channel system, which is a principal control on fluid flow behavior during hydrocarbon production (e.g., Larue and Hovadik, 2006; Stright, 2006; Labourdette, 2007; Stewart et al., 2008; Funk et al., 2012; Alpak et al., 2013). We review the implications of channel-system stratigraphic evolution for channelized reservoir heterogeneity, connectivity, and performance. We also highlight opportunities for research on submarinechannel architecture and evolution.

SUBMARINE-CHANNEL FACIES

Sediment-gravity flows modify channels by erosion and deposition, and in the long term this results in the migration of the active channel floor and the preservation of deposits in its wake (Sylvester et al., 2011). Turbidites and debrites are end members of the spectrum of sediment-gravity-flow deposits. Turbidites are deposited by turbidity currents, in which sand and mud are suspended by the upward component of fluid turbulence; debrites are deposited by debris flows, in which large grains and gravel are supported by a cohesive matrix of interstitial fluid and mud with finite yield strength (Middleton and Hampton, 1973). Channel deposits commonly exhibit the following facies that represent a spectrum of submarine mass-movement processes (Mutti and Normark, 1987; Clark and



Figure 1: Submarine-channel facies of the Cretaceous Tres Pasos Formation, Magallanes basin, southern Chile. (A-B) Photograph and line-drawing trace of channel axis to margin facies associations. Yellow is sand-rich; gray is mud-rich lithology. (C) Schematic cross section of a channel-fill architectural element (Sullivan et al., 2000). (D) Photograph and schematic cross section of asymmetric channel fill in the Tres Pasos Formation (Reimchen et al., 2016).

Pickering, 1996; Campion et al., 2000; Sullivan et al., 2000; Barton et al., 2010; Hubbard et al., 2009, 2014) (Fig. 1):

1) thick-bedded, amalgamated sandstone and/or sand-matrix conglomerate deposited from the collapse of high-density turbidity currents (suspended load) and through tractional reworking of sediment (bed load);

2) thin, interbedded sandstone and mudstone deposited from low-density turbidity currents; 3) stratified mudstone deposited from dilute, low-density turbidity currents and the subsequent suspension sedimentation of mud between turbidity currents;

4) ungraded sandstone and/or conglomerate with a muddy matrix, deposited from debris flows; and

5) contorted (overturned and/or offset stratification) heterolithic units deposited from slumps and/or slides.

Outcrops of the Cretaceous Tres Pasos Formation, Magallanes basin, southern

Chile, record excellent examples of these submarine-channel facies and their spatial distribution (Macauley and Hubbard, 2013; Hubbard et al., 2014) (Figs. 1 and 2). The Magallanes basin is a retroarc foreland basin that formed in response to Andean uplift during the Late Cretaceous (Fosdick et al., 2011). Deep-water conditions persisted in the basin as a result of a backarc basin heritage (Rocas Verdes basin) and the formation of underlying attenuated continental crust (Fildani and Hessler, 2005; Romans et al., 2010). The deepwater basin was eventually filled axially from north to south by a prograding shelf-margin clinoform system that linked slope turbidite systems to shelfedge deltaic strata (Hubbard et al., 2010). Channel fills summarized here are interpreted to have been deposited 25-30 km from the paleoshelf edge in 1000-1500 m of water (Hubbard et al., 2010).

Submarine-channel facies of the Tres Pasos Formation are confined by two key scales of stratigraphic surface that can be correlated and mapped for tens to hundreds of meters (Fig. 1). Hubbard et al. (2014) documented a primary channel surface (250-300 m wide; <24 m of relief), which is sometimes characterized by a notched, or stepped, cross-sectional profile. This surface defines a channel fill, or channel architectural element (e.g., Sullivan et al., 2000; Mayall et al., 2006; McHargue et al., 2011) (Figs. 1 and 2). The primary channel surface is interpreted to have been created as a result of incision of the seafloor by a series of high-energy turbidity currents (e.g., Elliott, 2000; Fildani et al., 2013). The notched cross-sectional profile might indicate that the processes of channel formation involved multiple phases of erosion to different depths (Hubbard et al., 2014). Secondary surfaces are smaller (200-250 m wide; <6 m relief) and locally truncate beds within the channel fill.

In the Tres Pasos Formation, thickbedded sandstone (facies 1, above) was deposited in the thalweg (Fig. 1). The sandstone transitions laterally to finer-grained deposits (facies 2-5)



Figure 2: Stacking patterns of submarine-channel fills of the Cretaceous Tres Pasos Formation, Magallanes basin, southern Chile. (A) Location map. (B) Interpretive cross section of incisingto-aggrading stacking patterns of submarine-channel fills of the Tres Pasos Formation. Yellow is sand-rich; gray is mud-rich lithology. (C-D) Photograph and line-drawing trace of stacked submarine-channel fills.

in the channel margins (Fig. 1). The turbidity currents that deposited thick-bedded sandstone (facies 1) in the thalweg did not always deposit sand directly against the erosive edges of the primary channel surface (Fig. 1). The deposits of the upper, more dilute and fine-grained portions of the turbidity currents (facies 2) onlap or drape the primary or secondary channel surfaces in the channel margins (Fig. 1). Instability of thin-bedded facies on channel margins can result in slump and/or slide deposits (facies 5). The fine-grained channel-margin deposits contain an order of magnitude more numerous sedimentation units, which individually represent deposition from a single turbidity-current event

(Hubbard et al., 2014). Therefore, the channel margins contain a more complete record of turbidite deposition and downstream sediment dispersal. Hubbard et al. (2014) interpreted the origins of channel-margin turbidites to be deposition from the tails of bypassed turbidity currents and/or the marginal equivalents of subsequently eroded turbidites deposited in the thalweg. Hubbard et al. (2014) used these stratigraphic observations to demonstrate the protracted nature of submarine channels, showing evidence for numerous incision, sediment bypass, and depositional events during a channel lifecycle.

Sinuous channel fills commonly exhibit sandstone-rich facies in outer



Figure 3: (A) High-resolution (~80 Hz) seismic-reflection profile across submarine-channel system CLS3 of the Indus Fan (Deptuck et al., 2003; Sylvester et al., 2011). (B) Interpretive line-drawing of the channel-levee system shown in (A), illustrating the change from laterally to vertically stacked channel deposits. Yellow is sand-rich; gray is mud-rich lithology.

bends and finer-grained facies in inner bends (Fig. 1D) (Abreu et al., 2003; Campion et al., 2005; Pyles et al., 2010; Reimchen et al., 2016). This variability of facies across a strike-oriented cross section of a channel fill, or facies asymmetry, is likely a result of elevated shear stresses along outer bends (Jobe et al., 2010; Pyles et al., 2010): sediment gravity flows have the highest velocities close to the outer bank (Straub et al., 2008; Peakall and Sumner, 2015). The degree of facies asymmetry probably correlates with the morphological asymmetry, which is a function of sinuosity and curvature. Straight channel segments and inflection points between channel bends tend to have more symmetric facies patterns than bend apices (Reimchen et al., 2016).

Researchers have recently suggested that turbidite facies in outcrop can be associated with internal hydraulic jumps in a supercritical turbidity current overriding cyclic steps (Postma et al., 2014; Postma and Cartigny, 2014). Supercritical turbidity currents are defined by the densimetric Froude number, \mathbf{Fr}_d , exceeding unity ($\mathbf{Fr}_d = U/\sqrt{g'h}$, where U is velocity, g' is reduced gravitational acceleration, and h is depth of a current). Cyclic steps are long-wave (the ratio of wavelength to height is >>1), upstreammigrating bedforms, commonly with asymmetrical waveforms in cross section, which develop in regions with high gradients and slope breaks that promote repeated internal hydraulic jumps in an overriding turbidity current (Kostic, 2011). These bedforms have been documented in fieldscale observations combined with morphodynamic modeling (e.g., Fildani et al., 2006; Kostic, 2011; Covault et al., 2014), physical experiments (e.g., Spinewine et al., 2009), direct monitoring of turbidity currents (e.g., Hughes Clarke, 2016), and recently in outcrops (Postma et al., 2014). These features might play a significant role in the development of stratigraphic architecture and facies distribution within relatively high-gradient channels. However, most outcrops are limited in scale compared to the size of cyclic steps (up to -10^3 m wavelength; -10^2 m height; Symons et al., 2016), and faciesbased recognition remains a challenge.

SUBMARINE-CHANNEL STRATIGRAPHIC EVOLUTION

The stratigraphic evolution of submarine channels generally includes the creation of a large-scale, composite, erosional bounding surface (i.e., valley) as a result of incision and lateral migration of the active channel floor during early channel-system evolution,

The **Sedimentary** Record

followed by stacking and aggradation of leveed channels during later evolution (Deptuck et al., 2003; 2007; Posamentier, 2003; Mayall et al., 2006; Hodgson et al., 2011; McHargue et al., 2011; Sylvester et al., 2011; Janocko et al., 2013; Bain and Hubbard, 2016) (Figs. 2 and 3). In outcrop, these largescale composite surfaces are commonly associated with deposits of debris flows, slumps, and/or slides (facies 4 and 5) (Hodgson et al., 2011; Macauley and Hubbard, 2013).

A relatively high rate of incision of the active channel floor can result in a complex architecture at the base of the channel system, in which erosional remnants of sandstone-dominated channel fills are preserved on the valley side; these remnants usually originate as meander-bend cutoffs (Sylvester et al., 2011; Sylvester and Covault, 2016). This early phase of channel evolution is poorly understood because the preserved stratigraphic record is commonly fragmented or completely absent as a result of subsequent erosion (Sylvester and Covault, 2016).

As the incision rate decreases, the preservation potential of channel deposits increases, but channels tend to erode into previously deposited sediment. This stage is characterized by limited incision or aggradation but significant lateral migration of channels; the resulting stratigraphy consists of numerous erosional channel remnants that usually fill the valley floor from one side to the other and there is only one continuous channel thread that can be seen and mapped across the area of interest (Figs. 2 and 3).

Following the early phases of incision and lateral migration, aggradation of the channel floor and bounding levees at the top of the channel system promotes greater preservation and results in more continuous and vertically connected sandstone-rich facies bounded by finergrained deposits (Kane and Hodgson, 2011; Sylvester et al., 2011; McHargue et al., 2011; Janocko et al., 2013; Macauley and Hubbard, 2013) (Figs. 2 and 3). Submarine channel aggradation rates are usually much higher than those observed in fluvial systems (Peakall et al.,



Figure 4: (A) Surface-based stratigraphic forward model of the incising-to-aggrading trajectory of a channel system (Sylvester and Covault, 2016). Bed-scale lithological variability is not represented. (B) Detailed depositional-strike-oriented cross section. Yellow is sand-rich; gray is mud-rich lithology. (C) Depositional-dip cross section.

2000; Sylvester et al., 2011; Jobe et al., in press).

We illustrate the incising-toaggrading trajectory of channel systems in a surface-based stratigraphic forward model that is inspired by a kinematic model of river meandering (Fig. 4). The model is based on an implementation of the Howard and Knutson (1984) meandering channel model, a computationally simple and fast approach for generating sinuous channel centerlines with realistic shapes. Using an approach similar to that of Finnegan and Dietrich (2011), we track along-channel slope variability; this increases the complexity of the model as cutoff-related knickpoints cause re-incisions (Sylvester and Covault, 2016). For the generation of topographic and stratigraphic surfaces, we use three simple steps for each centerline: 1) channel-base erosion; 2) channel-filling sand deposition; and 3) overbank mud deposition (Sylvester et al., 2011; Sylvester and Covault, 2016). The resulting surface-based model captures large-scale submarine-channel architecture, but bed-scale lithological variability is not represented (Fig. 4).

The commonly observed incising-toaggrading trajectory of a channel system is likely influenced by both autogenic and allogenic controls. The similarities

in stratigraphic evolution and resulting facies architecture of submarine-channel systems suggest common processes in different continental-margin settings (Deptuck et al., 2003; McHargue et al., 2011). The incising-to-aggrading trajectory might reflect adjustments toward an equilibrium state, in which sediment is transported through a channel with minimum incision or aggradation of the seafloor (Pirmez et al., 2000; Hodgson et al., 2011; McHargue et al., 2011; Janocko et al., 2013). Equilibrium is established and maintained by feedbacks between the slope and overriding sediment-gravity flows: a steep slope will promote swift flows that are erosive; a more gradual gradient will promote sluggish flows that aggrade sediment (Kneller, 2003; Ferry et al., 2005). A combination of these two processes brings the channel floor closer to an equilibrium gradient. For example, a channel on the steeper, down-dip side of an anticline will undergo upstream-propagating incision until equilibrium is achieved. Knickpoints probably play an important role in submarine channel incision (Heiniö and Davies, 2007; Sylvester and Covault, 2016). Channel segments affected by ongoing subsidence are likely to respond with deposition. Steep submarine slopes are commonly related to incision of erosional surfaces during early channel evolution (Ferry et al., 2005). The transition from laterally stacked and cutoff channel deposits at the base of the system to more continuous and aggradational channel and overbank deposits at the top might also be related to levee deposition across a reduced slope as a result of grading the slope to an equilibrium profile (Peakall et al., 2000; Pirmez et al., 2000; Hodgson et al., 2011; McHargue et al., 2011).

Changes in sediment-gravity-flow properties driven by allogenic controls, such as eustatic sea-level change, have also been linked to the incising-toaggrading trajectory (Pirmez et al., 2000; Posamentier and Kolla, 2003; Piper and Normark, 2001; Deptuck et al., 2003; Kneller, 2003; Ferry et al.,



Figure 5: Hypothetical submarine-channel-system facies architecture (i.e., facies heterogeneity and stacking patterns) inspired by outcrop (Figs. 1 and 2) and stratigraphic forward model (Fig. 4) and potential fluid flow behavior during hydrocarbon production. (A) Cross section of incising-to-aggrading trajectory of a submarine-channel system. Yellow is sand-rich; gray is mud-rich lithology. Green lines indicate sand body connectivity. Red lines indicate baffles or barriers between sand bodies in cross section. Approximate locations of B and C are blue and pink dashed boxes, respectively. (B) Lower zone of cutoff and eroded channel deposits. Downstream continuity of sand-rich facies is likely oversimplified. Water injector well (Water Inj.) is a blue dot. Producer well is a green dot. (C) Upper zone of more continuous and vertically connected sandstone-rich facies. See text for explanation.

2005; McHargue et al., 2011; Jobe et al., 2015). For example, diminished sediment supply as a result of gradual shoreline transgression might yield underfit sediment gravity flows that were confined by overdeepened bounding surfaces, preventing flows from overspilling and promoting inner levee and channel aggradation (Deptuck et al., 2012; Janocko et al., 2013; Jobe et al., 2015). More work is needed to better understand the commonly observed shift from incision to aggradation (Jobe et al., in press).

SUBMARINE-CHANNEL RESERVOIR CHARACTERIZATION

Integrated subsurface characterization, modeling, and flow simulation studies have evaluated the effect of facies architecture on channelized reservoir connectivity and performance (Larue and Hovadik, 2006; Stright, 2006; Labourdette, 2007; Stewart et al., 2008; Funk et al., 2012; Alpak et al., 2013). For example, Larue and Hovadik (2006) simulated oil production with water injection in simple 3D geostatistical models of channelized reservoirs. They found that fine-grained facies, such as mud-rich turbidites and debrites draping channel floors, decreased connectivity (Larue and Hovadik, 2006; see also Stright, 2006; Labourdette, 2007; Stewart et al., 2008; Li and Caers, 2011; Alpak et al., 2013). Stewart et al.

(2008) performed flow simulations on a model describing the submarine-channel facies architecture of the Miocene-Pliocene Capistrano Formation, southern California, to evaluate the effect of heterogeneity and connectivity on hydrocarbon recovery. Facies architecture represented in these models included the presence of basal highpermeability zones in the center of each channel and lower permeability zones in the margins of channel fills (Stewart et al., 2008). This facies architecture had a significant negative impact on recovery and timing of injected water breakthrough compared to models that did not contain such organized extremes of permeability (Stewart et al., 2008).

Fluid flow behavior during hydrocarbon production is likely to vary according to reservoir architecture that differs as a function of the incisingto-aggrading trajectory of a channel system (Fig. 5). At the base of a channel system, the complex juxtaposition of cutoff and eroded sandstone-rich facies against finer-grained facies results in an abundance of short length-scale heterogeneity (Fig. 5). Within this type of reservoir architecture, connectivity between injector-producer well pairs is likely to be established via multiple remnant channel sand bodies, which has the potential to promote efficient sweep by reducing the organized structure of permeability extremes. At the top of a channel system, injected water

The **Sedimentary** Record

might preferentially sweep the more continuous and vertically connected sandstone-rich facies, bypassing oil in thin-bedded heteroltihic deposits (e.g., Stewart et al., 2008) (Fig. 5). Future work should focus on the effect of submarine-channel stratigraphic evolution and facies architecture on fluid flow behavior during hydrocarbon production (cf. Meirovitz et al., 2016).

SUMMARY

Submarine-channel systems are composed of channel fills with thickbedded turbidite sandstone deposited in the thalweg, thin-bedded heterolithic turbidites in the margin, and scour surfaces draped with turbidite mudstone and/or mudstone-dominated units deposited by debris flows, slumps, and/ or slides. Submarine-channel stratigraphic evolution commonly reflects an incisingto-aggrading trajectory that results in a lower zone of cutoff and eroded channel deposits overlain by an upper zone of more continuous and vertically connected sandstone-rich facies. However, channel systems can also be 'frozen' in time at different stages of their evolution (e.g., Janocko et al., 2013). Outcrop characterization and a stratigraphic forward model illustrate the 3D stacking patterns of channel systems. The 3D facies architecture that results from the incising-to-aggrading trajectory of a channel system is viewed as a primary control on reservoir heterogeneity and connectivity.

Future research opportunities include constraining fundamental processes that operate in submarine channels via analysis of stratigraphic products integrated with short-term observations from direct monitoring and physical experiments; this is particularly critical as observing natural flows in the deep sea has proven challenging. The importance of hydraulic jumps, cyclic steps, and knickpoints in submarine-channel evolution are all active research topics. The integration of morphodynamic numerical modeling with outcrop characterization can be employed to evaluate the long-term evolution of bed-scale sedimentary processes and products. Autogenic and allogenic controls on stratigraphic

evolution are also active research topics. These controls are important as they determine the stratigraphic evolution and facies architecture of submarine-channel systems, thereby influencing continentalmargin sediment dispersal, as well as the heterogeneity and connectivity of channelized reservoirs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

JAC acknowledges support of the sponsors of the Quantitative Clastics Laboratory (http://www.beg.utexas. edu/qcl). Follow the QCL on Twitter (https://twitter.com/ClasticsLab). Research on the Tres Pasos Formation is supported by the Chile Slope Systems Joint Industry Project (http://www. chileslopesystems.com). The authors recognize Chevron Energy Technology Company for supporting research on this topic and allowing publication. The authors also thank stimulating conversations and intellectual support from Morgan Sullivan, Nick Drinkwater, Tim McHargue, Julian Clark, Tao Sun, Brian Romans, Nick Howes, Michael Pyrcz, Svetlana Kostic, and Andrea Fildani.

REFERENCES

- ABREU, V., SULLIVAN, M., PIRMEZ, C., & MOHRIG, D. (2003). Lateral accretion packages (LAPs): an important reservoir element in deep water sinuous channels. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 20(6), 631-648.
- ALPAK, F. O., BARTON, M. D., & NARUK, S. J. (2013). The impact of fine-scale turbidite channel architecture on deep-water reservoir performance. AAPG bulletin, 97(2), 251-284.
- BAGNOLD, R. A. (1962). Auto-suspension of transported sediment; turbidity currents. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences, 265(1322), 315-319.
- BAIN, H. A., & HUBBARD, S. M. (2016). Stratigraphic evolution of a long-lived submarine channel system in the Late Cretaceous Nanaimo Group, British Columbia, Canada. Sedimentary Geology, 337, 113-132.
- BARTON, M., O'BYRNE, C., PIRMEZ, C., PRATHER, B., VAN DER VLUGT, F., ALPAK, F. O., & SYLVESTER, Z. (2010). Turbidite channel architecture: Recognizing and quantifying the distribution of channel-base drapes using core and dipmeter data. In: Dipmeter and Borehole Image Log Technology. AAPG Memoir 92, pp. 195–210.
- BEAUBOUEF, R. T., ROSSEN, C., SULLIVAN,
 M.D., MOHRIG, D.C., & JENNETTE, D.C.
 (1999). Deep-water sandstones, Brushy Canyon
 Formation, West Texas. AAPG Continuing Education
 Course Note Series 40, 47 p.

- CAMPION, K. M., SPRAGUE, A. R., MOHRIG, D., LOVELL, R. W., DRZEWIECKI, P. A., SULLIVAN, M. D., ARDILL, J.A., JENSEN, G.N., & SICKAFOOSE, D. K. (2000). Outcrop expression of confined channel complexes. In GCSSEPM Foundation, 20th Annual Research Conference, pp. 127-151.
- CLARK, J. D., & PICKERING, K. T. (1996). Architectural elements and growth patterns of submarine channels: application to hydrocarbon exploration. AAPG bulletin, 80(2), 194-220.
- CONWAY, K. W., BARRIE, J. V., PICARD, K., & BORNHOLD, B. D. (2012). Submarine channel evolution: active channels in fjords, British Columbia, Canada. Geo-Marine Letters, 32(4), 301-312.
- COOPER, C., WOOD, J., & ANDRIEUX, O. (2013, MAY). Turbidity current measurements in the Congo Canyon. In Proceedings of the Annual Offshore Technology Conference (OTC 23992).
- COVAULT, J. A., FILDANI, A., ROMANS, B. W., & MCHARGUE, T. (2011). The natural range of submarine canyon-and-channel longitudinal profiles. Geosphere, 7(2), 313-332.
- COVAULT, J. A., KOSTIC, S., PAULL, C. K., RYAN, H. F., & FILDANI, A. (2014). Submarine channel initiation, filling and maintenance from seafloor geomorphology and morphodynamic modelling of cyclic steps. Sedimentology, 61(4), 1031-1054.
- COVAULT, J. A., SHELEF, E., TRAER, M., HUBBARD, S. M., ROMANS, B. W., & FILDANI, A. (2012). Deep-water channel run-out length: insights from seafloor geomorphology. Journal of Sedimentary Research, 82(1), 25-40.
- DEPTUCK, M. E., STEFFENS, G. S., BARTON, M., & PIRMEZ, C. (2003). Architecture and evolution of upper fan channel-belts on the Niger Delta slope and in the Arabian Sea. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 20(6), 649-676.
- DEPTUCK, M. E., SYLVESTER, Z., PIRMEZ, C., & O'BYRNE, C. (2007). Migration–aggradation history and 3-D seismic geomorphology of submarine channels in the Pleistocene Benin-major Canyon, western Niger Delta slope. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 24(6), 406-433.
- FERRY, J. N., MULDER, T., PARIZE, O., & RAILLARD, S. (2005). Concept of equilibrium profile in deep-water turbidite system: effects of local physiographic changes on the nature of sedimentary process and the geometries of deposits. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 244(1), 181-193.
- FILDANI, A., & HESSLER, A. M. (2005). Stratigraphic record across a retroarc basin inversion: Rocas Verdes–Magallanes basin, Patagonian Andes, Chile. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 117(11-12), 1596-1614.
- FILDANI, A., HUBBARD, S. M., COVAULT, J. A., MAIER, K. L., ROMANS, B. W., TRAER, M., & ROWLAND, J. C. (2013). Erosion at inception of deep-sea channels. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 41, 48-61.
- FILDANI, A., NORMARK, W. R., KOSTIC, S., & PARKER, G. (2006). Channel formation by flow stripping: Large-scale scour features along the Monterey East Channel and their relation to sediment waves. Sedimentology, 53(6), 1265-1287.
- FINNEGAN, N. J., & DIETRICH, W. E. (2011). Episodic bedrock strath terrace formation due to meander migration and cutoff. Geology, 39(2), 143-146.
- FOSDICK, J. C., ROMANS, B. W., FILDANI, A., BERNHARDT, A., CALDERÓN, M., & GRAHAM, S. A. (2011). Kinematic evolution of the Patagonian retroarc fold-and-thrust belt and Magallanes foreland basin, Chile and Argentina, 51 30' S. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 123(9-10), 1679-1698.
- FUNK, J. E., SLATT, R. M., & PYLES, D. R. (2012). Quantification of static connectivity between deep-water channels and stratigraphically adjacent architectural elements using outcrop analogs. AAPG bulletin, 96(2), 277-300.

- HEINIÖ, P., & DAVIES, R. J. (2007). Knickpoint migration in submarine channels in response to fold growth, western Niger Delta. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 24(6), 434-449.
- HODGSON, D. M., DI CELMA, C. N., BRUNT, R. L., & FLINT, S. S. (2011). Submarine slope degradation and aggradation and the stratigraphic evolution of channel–levee systems. Journal of the Geological Society, 168(3), 625-628.
- HOWARD, A. D., & KNUTSON, T. R. (1984). Sufficient conditions for river meandering: A simulation approach. Water Resources Research, 20(11), 1659-1667.
- HUBBARD, S. M., DE RUIG, M. J., & GRAHAM, S. A. (2009). Confined channel-levee complex development in an elongate depo-center: deep-water Tertiary strata of the Austrian Molasse basin. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 26(1), 85-112.
- HUBBARD, S. M., FILDANI, A., ROMANS, B. W., COVAULT, J. A., & MCHARGUE, T. R. (2010). High-relief slope clinoform development: insights from outcrop, Magallanes Basin, Chile. Journal of Sedimentary Research, 80(5), 357-375.
- HUBBARD, S. M., COVAULT, J. A., FILDANI, A., & ROMANS, B. W. (2014). Sediment transfer and deposition in slope channels: deciphering the record of enigmatic deep-sea processes from outcrop. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 126(5-6), 857-871.
- HUGHES-CLARKE. J. (2016). First wide-angle view of channelized turbidity currents links migrating cyclic steps to flow characteristics. Nature communications, 7, 11896.
- JANOCKO, M., NEMEC, W., HENRIKSEN, S., & WARCHOŁ, M. (2013). The diversity of deep-water sinuous channel belts and slope valley-fill complexes. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 41, 7-34.
- JOBE, Z. R., BERNHARDT, A., & LOWE, D. R. (2010). Facies and architectural asymmetry in a conglomeraterich submarine channel fill, Cerro Toro Formation, Sierra del Toro, Magallanes Basin, Chile. Journal of Sedimentary Research, 80(12), 1085-1108.
- JOBE, Z. R., HOWES, N. C., & AUCHTER, N. C. (IN PRESS). Comparing submarine and fluvial channel kinematics: Implications for stratigraphic architecture. Geology.
- JOBE, Z. R., SYLVESTER, Z., PARKER, A. O., HOWES, N., SLOWEY, N., & PIRMEZ, C. (2015). Rapid adjustment of submarine channel architecture to changes in sediment supply. Journal of Sedimentary Research, 85(6), 729-753.
- KANE, I. A., & HODGSON, D. M. (2011). Sedimentological criteria to differentiate submarine channel levee subenvironments: exhumed examples from the Rosario Fm.(Upper Cretaceous) of Baja California, Mexico, and the Fort Brown Fm.(Permian), Karoo basin, S. Africa. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 28(3), 807-823.
- KOSTIC, S. (2011). Modeling of submarine cyclic steps: Controls on their formation, migration, and architecture. Geosphere, 7(2), 294-304.
- KNELLER, B. (2003). The influence of flow parameters on turbidite slope channel architecture. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 20(6), 901-910.
- LABOURDETTE, R. (2007). Integrated three-dimensional modeling approach of stacked turbidite channels. AAPG bulletin, 91(11), 1603-1618.
- LAKE, L. W., & JENSEN, J. L. (1989). A review of heterogeneity measures used in reservoir characterization. Society of Petroleum Engineers, SPE-20156-MS.
- LARUE, D. K., & HOVADIK, J. (2006). Connectivity of channelized reservoirs: a modelling approach. Petroleum Geoscience, 12(4), 291-308.
- LI, H., & CAERS, J. (2011). Geological modelling and history matching of multi-scale flow barriers in channelized reservoirs: methodology and application. Petroleum Geoscience, 17(1), 17-34.

- MACAULEY, R. V., & HUBBARD, S. M. (2013). Slope channel sedimentary processes and stratigraphic stacking, Cretaceous Tres Pasos Formation slope system, Chilean Patagonia. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 41, 146-162.
- MAYALL, M., JONES, E., & CASEY, M. (2006). Turbidite channel reservoirs—Key elements in facies prediction and effective development. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 23(8), 821-841.
- McHARGUE, T., PYRCZ, M. J., SULLIVAN, M. D., CLARK, J. D., FILDANI, A., ROMANS, B. W. & DRINKWATER, N. J. (2011). Architecture of turbidite channel systems on the continental slope: patterns and predictions. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 28(3), 728-743.
- MEIROVITZ, C.D., STRIGHT, L., HUBBARD, S.M., & ROMANS, B. (2016). The influence of intra- and inter- channel architecture in selecting optimal gridding for field-scale reservoir simulation. AAPG Search and Discovery, #90259, Calgary.
- MIDDLETÓN, G. V., & HÁMPTON, M. A. (1973). Part I. Sediment gravity flows: mechanics of flow and deposition. In Turbidites and Deep-Water Sedimentation: SEPM Pacific Section, Short Course Lecture Notes, pp. 1-38.
- MUTTI, E., & NORMARK, W. R. (1987). Comparing examples of modern and ancient turbidite systems: problems and concepts. In Marine clastic sedimentology (pp. 1-38). Springer Netherlands.
- NORMARK, W. R., POSAMENTIER, H., & MUTTI, E. (1993). Turbidite systems: state of the art and future directions. Reviews of Geophysics, 31(2), 91-116.
- PAULL, C. K., USSLER III, W., CARESS, D. W., LUNDSTEN, E., COVAULT, J. A., MAIER, K. L., & AUGENSTEIN, S. (2010). Origins of large crescentshaped bedforms within the axial channel of Monterey Canyon, offshore California. Geosphere, 6(6), 755-774.
- PEAKALL, J., MCCAFFREY, B., & KNELLER, B. (2000). A process model for the evolution, morphology, and architecture of sinuous submarine channels. Journal of Sedimentary Research, 70(3), 434-448.
- PEAKALL, J., & SUMNER, E. J. (2015). Submarine channel flow processes and deposits: A process-product perspective. Geomorphology, 244, 95-120.
- PEMBERTON, E. A., HUBBARD, S. M., FILDANI, A., ROMANS, B., & STRIGHT, L. (2016). The stratigraphic expression of decreasing confinement along a deep-water sediment routing system: Outcrop example from southern Chile. Geosphere, 12(1), 114-134.
- PIPER, D. J., & NORMARK, W. R. (2001). Sandy fans--from Amazon to Hueneme and beyond. AAPG bulletin, 85(8), 1407-1438.

- PIRMEZ, C., BEAUBOUEF, R. T., FRIEDMANN, S. J., & MOHRIG, D. C. (2000, DECEMBER). Equilibrium profile and baselevel in submarine channels: examples from Late Pleistocene systems and implications for the architecture of deepwater reservoirs. In Global deep-water reservoirs: Gulf Coast Section SEPM Foundation 20th Annual Bob F. Perkins Research Conference (pp. 782-805).
- POSAMENTIER, H. W. (2003). Depositional elements associated with a basin floor channel-levee system: case study from the Gulf of Mexico. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 20(6), 677-690.
- POSAMENTIER, H. W., & KOLLA, V. (2003). Seismic geomorphology and stratigraphy of depositional elements in deep-water settings. Journal of Sedimentary Research, 73(3), 367-388.
- POSAMENTIER, H. W., ERSKINE, R. D., & MITCHUM JR, R. M. (1991). Models for submarinefan deposition within a sequence-stratigraphic framework. In Seismic facies and sedimentary processes of submarine fans and turbidite systems (pp. 127-136). Springer New York.
- POSTMÄ, G., & CARTIGNY, M. J. (2014). Supercritical and subcritical turbidity currents and their deposits—A synthesis. Geology, 42(11), 987-990.
- POSTMA, G., KLEVERLAAN, K., & CARTIGNY, M. J. (2014). Recognition of cyclic steps in sandy and gravelly turbidite sequences, and consequences for the Bouma facies model. Sedimentology, 61(7), 2268-2290.
- PYLES, D.R., JENNETTE, D.C., TOMASSO, M., BEAUBOUEF, R.T., & ROSSEN, C. (2010). Concepts learned from a 3D outcrop of a sinuous slope channel complex: Beacon Channel Complex, Brushy Canyon Formation, West Texas, U.S.A. Journal of Sedimentary Research, v. 80, p. 67-96.
- REIMCHEN, A. P., HUBBARD, S. M., STRIGHT, L., & ROMANS, B. W. (2016). Using sea-floor morphometrics to constrain stratigraphic models of sinuous submarine channel systems. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 77, 92-115.
- ROMANS, B. W., FILDANI, A., GRAHAM, S. A., HUBBARD, S. M., & COVAULT, J. A. (2010). Importance of predecessor basin history on sedimentary fill of a retroarc foreland basin: Provenance analysis of the Cretaceous Magallanes basin, Chile (50–52 S). Basin Research, 22(5), 640-658.
- SPINEWINE, B., SEQUEIROS, O. E., GARCIA, M. H., BEAUBOUEF, R. T., SUN, T., SAVOYE, B., & PARKER, G. (2009). Experiments on wedge-shaped deep sea sedimentary deposits in minibasins and/or on channel levees emplaced by turbidity currents. Part II. Morphodynamic evolution of the wedge and of the associated bedforms. Journal of Sedimentary Research, 79(8), 608-628.
- STEWART, J., DUNN, P. A., LYTTLE, C., CAMPION, K., OYERINDE, A., & FISCHER, B. (2008, JANUARY). Improving Performance Prediction in Deep-Water Reservoirs: Learning from Outcrop Analogues, Conceptual Models and Flow Simulation. In International Petroleum Technology Conference. International Petroleum Technology Conference.

- STRAUB, K. M., MOHRIG, D., MCELROY, B., BUTTLES, J., & PIRMEZ, C. (2008). Interactions between turbidity currents and topography in aggrading sinuous submarine channels: A laboratory study. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 120(3-4), 368-385.
- STRIGHT, L. (2006). Modeling, upscaling, and history matching thin, irregularly-shaped flow barriers: A comprehensive approach for predicting reservoir connectivity. Society of Petroleum Engineers Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, SPE-106528-STU, 8 p.
- SULLIVAN, M., JENSEN, G., GOULDING, F., JENNETTE, D., FOREMAN, L., & STERN, D. (2000, DECEMBER). Architectural analysis of deep-water outcrops: Implications for exploration and development of the Diana sub-basin, western Gulf of Mexico. In Deep-water reservoirs of the world: Gulf Coast Section SEPM Foundation 20th Annual Research Conference (pp. 1010-1032).
- SUMNER, E. J., & PAULL, C. K. (2014). Swept away by a turbidity current in Mendocino submarine canyon, California. Geophysical Research Letters, 41(21), 7611-7618.
- SYLVESTER, Z., PIRMEZ, C., & CANTELLI, A. (2011). A model of submarine channel-levee evolution based on channel trajectories: Implications for stratigraphic architecture. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 28(3), 716-727.
- SYLVESTER, Z., & COVAULT, J. A. (2016). Development of cutoff-related knickpoints during early evolution of submarine channels. Geology, 44(10), doi: 10.1130/G38397.1..
- SYMONS, W. O., SUMNER, E. J., TALLING, P. J., CARTIGNY, M. J., & CLARE, M. A. (2016). Large-scale sediment waves and scours on the modern seafloor and their implications for the prevalence of supercritical flows. Marine Geology, 371, 130-148.
- TALLING, PETER J., JOSHUA ALLIN, DOMINIC A. ARMITAGE, ROBERT WC ARNOTT, MATTHIEU JB CARTIGNY, MICHAEL A. CLARE, FABRIZIO FELLETTI ET AL. "Key future directions for research on turbidity currents and their deposits." Journal of Sedimentary Research 85, no. 2 (2015): 153-169.
- XU, J. P., NOBLE, M. A., & ROSENFELD, L. K. (2004). In-situ measurements of velocity structure within turbidity currents. Geophysical Research Letters, 31(9).
- ZENG, J., LOWE, D. R., PRIOR, D. B., WISEMAN, W. J., & BORNHOLD, B. D. (1991). Flow properties of turbidity currents in Bute Inlet, British Columbia. Sedimentology, 38(6), 975-996.

Accepted September 2016

MEMBERS – IF YOU ARE LOOKING FOR A JOB, CHECK OUT THE JOB OPPORTUNITIES LISTED AT

http://www.sepm.org/Job-Opportunities

PRESIDENT'S COMMENTS

Dear colleagues,

For more than 2 years, the oil industry has endured low oil prices making this the longest crisis in recent memory. Likewise government funding for basic geoscience research continues to be limited. As a result, industry support for research, scientific conferences, and societies has decreased and individual researcher funding to attend meetings has been limited. Additionally, publishing societies, like SEPM, are facing the challenge of adapting to new demands of open access for all publications, affecting what has been a primary revenue stream. Moreover, we are seeing membership numbers steadily decreasing. In light of this scenario, the survival of scientific societies will depend greatly on the loyalty of their members and also being creative in searching for ways to keep a healthy balance sheet. In short, the future of SEPM will rely on our ability to continue to bring our particular brand value to the scientific community.

SEPM is known for high scientific standards. We are known for driving our sciences forward and providing the community with rich, timely discussions through our scientific publications, research conferences and topical sessions at annual meetings. The quality of our scientific publications and the high attendance of our technical sessions and research conferences have sheltered us, in part, from the storm caused by the slow market. We thank the authors, editors, session chairs, and meeting organizers for their rigorous efforts to keeping these high standards.

That said, maintaining high scientific standards alone isn't enough. We must also bring new members into the society both to help replace the members we've lost through the downturn, and to bring new, young scientists into the fold. You can help us in this effort of changing the trend in the membership numbers. Speak with your friends and colleagues about the benefits the society offers for its members, including online access to all our publications and special member fees for geoscientists from developing countries. If each one of us invite a colleague that is not currently a member, we could reverse this trend.

As part of the process of finding solutions for the future that can bring more benefits for our members, we are also in the process of finding synergies with our sister society IAS – International Association of

Sedimentologists. We have recently met with IAS leadership during the IGC (International Geological Congress) in Cape Town. Both SEPM and IAS are committed to find ways to strengthen our relationship, while maintaining the internal culture and philosophy of the different societies. SEPM and IAS are about to establish a committee to plan for our first joint International Meeting in 2020. Representatives from both societies will be on the committee. We are also evaluating the possibility of extending to members of both societies discounts for buying IAS and SEPM books and magazines. Like me, several of us are members of both societies and we will also evaluate the feasibility of offering one discounted price for becoming a member of both societies.

The way through the current downturn is not yet clear, and your ideas and opinions are very important for us. Please take some time to share your thoughts with us as we move through these challenging times and prepare SEPM to strongly face the future.

Vitor Abreu, SEPM President



SEPM Society for Sedimentary Geology "Bringing the Sedimentary Geology Community Together" www.sepm.org

2016 RESEARCH CONFERENCES – REGISTRATIONS OPEN

SEPM-AAPG Mudstone Diagenesis Research Conference, 16-19 October, 2016, Santa Fe, New Mexico. http://www.sepm.org/MudstoneConference

Oceanic Anoxic Events, 2-7 November, 2016, Austin, Texas, USA. http://www.sepm.org/OAE-Conference

Mesozoic of the Gulf Rim and Beyond: New Progress in Science and Exploration of the Gulf of Mexico Basin, 4-6 December, 2016, Houston, Texas, USA. http://www.sepm.org/2016PerkinsRosen



2016 GSA MEETING IN MILE HIGH DENVER, CO – JOIN US!

Greetings to all the GSA Sedimentary Geology Division (SGD) members and wannabes! As you know, many of our interests, activities, and events are shared with SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology), which is why you are seeing our newsletter here in SEPM's Sedimentary Record. Please consider yourselves invited to all our SGD events at the GSA meeting starting with our main event, which is....

The SGD (with SEPM and STEPPE) now has a single

grand joint meeting with the GSA Limnogeology Division. At Seds & Suds we will be honoring all award recipients of the SGD and LD including this years Laurence L. Sloss Awardee.



2016 LAURENCE L. SLOSS AWARD

Dr. Timothy K. Lowenstein- 2016 Sloss Awardee.

The Sedimentary Geology Division is pleased to announce Dr. Timothy K. Lowenstein (Binghamton University) as the 2016 Laurence L. Sloss Award recipient.

Dr. Lowenstein (Tim) is internationally recognized for his ground-breaking contributions to the understanding of the Earth's history and processes

from the sedimentary and geochemical study of chemical sediments/sedimentary rocks. Tim received his BA from



Colgate University and his PhD from John Hopkins under the mentorship of Dr. Lawrie Hardie. Using both field and laboratory studies Tim extracts data on environmental and paleoclimatic conditions from fluid inclusions (sometimes containing microbes) in halite crystals. Though the data may be collected from a single salt crystal, Tim's research carries implications spanning: terrestrial microbial analogues to Martian life, secular changes in Phanerozoic seawater composition, Eocene atmospheric CO₂ content, the Messinian salt crisis, and the Quaternary paleoclimate history of three continents. Tim has also advanced the quality and utility of an array of research methods including improved field methods for the study of modern evaporite depositional environments, recognition of paleohydrology proxies, paleo-temperature determination, and use of environmental scanning electron microscopy/X-ray dispersion to characterize fluid inclusions, and to isolate microbes in halite fluid inclusions for DNA characterization.

Dr. Lowenstein is a Fellow of the GSA and was awarded the Israel C. Russell Award from the GSA Limnogeology Division in 2012. He is also a Fellow and Distinguished Lecturer of the Mineralogical Society of America as well as a Fellow of the Society of Economic Geologists. Dr. Lowenstein will be recognized both at the GSA Presidential Address and Awards Ceremony: Sunday, September 25, from 12:00—1:30 PM and at the SGD Seds & Suds Award Reception: Tuesday, September 27 from 6-8 PM.

Do you know a colleague who is particularly deserving of the Laurence L. Sloss Award for Sedimentary Geology?

Please forward nominations to Linda Kah, lckah@utk.edu

2016 SGD Student Research Award Recipient

Lauren Colliver (photo taken at Sun River Canyon, MT) is the 2016 SGD Student Research Award winner.

Each year the Sedimentary Geology Division presents a student award for an outstanding

sedimentary geology research grant proposal. The \$500 award (plus \$500 travel expenses to the upcoming annual meeting in Denver) is in addition to the GSA research grant award. The 2016 GSA SGD Student Research Grant Award recipient is Lauren Colliver (Purdue University) for her Master's thesis project entitled "Modeling fluvial planform architecture from the Salt Wash Member of the Morrison Formation, central Utah: New applications for understanding ancient fluvial systems". Congratulations Lauren!

At our Seds & Suds and Awards Reception we will recognize Lauren Colliver, as well as award winners (to be announced) of the 2016 SGD/SEPM sponsored student postersession at the Denver GSA Meeting..

2016 Stephen E. Laubach Structural Diagenesis Award

Sebastian Cardona is the 2016 Laubach Research Award recipient. The Stephen E. Laubach award is an interdisciplinary award that promotes research combining structural geology and diagenesis. The award is given jointly by SGD and Structural Geology and Tectonics divisions and is presented



at our respective awards ceremonies. Sebastian is a Ph.D. student at Colorado School of Mines. His research project is titled: "Assessing the seal capacity of mass-transport deposits: An outcrop-based study to investigate the spatial variations in microstructure and microfabric and implications for seal capacity."

SGD STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE

Rachelle Kernen will be taking the reigns from Kelsi Ustipak this fall as the SGD student representative on the GSA Student Advisory Council. Thank you Kelsi for all your hard work in this very important leadership role in the society. SGD student members are encouraged to reach out to Rachelle



(rkernen@miners.utep.edu) to share questions, concerns or ideas regarding membership with GSA or SGD (http://www. geosociety.org/aboutus/SAC.htm). This is a great opportunity for our young scientists to help guide GSA's future.

Any SGD Student Members who might be interested in being considered as our next SGD representative starting in Fall 2017 should talk to Rachelle or the SGD officers at GSA in Denver or drop us a line.

Heads up students - don't forget: FREE FOOD & BEER at the Seds & Suds meeting. At last year's meeting in Baltimore we combined the Seds & Suds and the awards reception into a single event held on Tuesday night of the GSA meeting so it would not conflict with the alumni events on Monday evening. The new format was met with great enthusiasm by our members, so we have decided to continue with it in Denver. Please feel free to provide feedback or suggestions on the new format as we are always striving to accommodate the needs of the membership.

2016 GSA ANNUAL MEETING SGD-ENDORSED SESSIONS

WOW! Take a look (using the link below) at the breadth & range of the 74 sessions SGD will sponsor at this year's GSA Annual Meeting in Denver. Quick Link (refine search on SGD sponsorship): http://www.geosociety.org/meetings/2016/ sessions/topical.asp

Come and meet USS (Up & coming Sedimentary Scientists) and support our SGD/SEPM Student Poster Session! Quick Link: https://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2016AM/ webprogram/Session40339.htm

T184. SGD Student Poster Session: New Insights to the Dynamics of Stratigraphy and Sedimentation. *Chairs: Gary L. Gianniny, Vitor Abreu*

2016 SGD POSTCARD

Check out this awesome NASA image of two types of sedimentary ripples on the Namib Dune, Bagnold Dune field, Mars chosen for this years SGD postcard front. Find more NASA Mars photos and info at: mars.nasa.gov/msl/ multimedia/images

Pick up your free SGD postcard at the GSA booth during

the meeting or at Seds & Suds. Make YOUR suggestions or submit a photo for consideration for future SGD postcards to Kate Giles



(kagiles@utep.edu).

A VERY SPECIAL THANK YOU

to **Kelly Dilliard** who has served SGD for *10 years* as the SGD webmaster and will be stepping down from this position at the Denver GSA meeting. Now that's dedication! Kelly has recently developed the SGD page on GSA's Connected Communities site – Check it out: (http://community.geosociety.org/sedimentarygeologydiv/home).

SGD is looking for a digitally savvy member to take over the reigns from Kelly on this extremely important position. If you're interested in serving SGD in this capacity please contact Kate Giles.



Thank you to our JTPC (Joint Technical Program Coordination) Committee (Ryan Morgan and Piret Plint-Bjorklund) for organizing a stellar sedimentary program for the upcoming GSA meeting. We will need a replacement on this committee for Ryan for the 2017 meeting in Seattle, WA – so here's another opportunity to get directly involved with SGD.

FINALLY... Get involved! We could use your help and ideas in shaping SGD. You can be a judge, serve on a committee, help with our annual GSA events, or serve as an SGD officer.

2016 SGD MANAGEMENT BOARD:

Kate Giles (Chair) (kagiles@utep.edu)

Gary Gianniny (Vice Chair) (gianniny_g@fortlewis.edu)

Linda Kah (Secretary-Treasurer) (lckah@utk.edu)

Rachelle Kernen (Student Representative) (rkernen@miners.utep.edu)

SEPM 2017 SCIENCE AWARDS

- Honorary Membership for society service and science Don McNeill – dmcneill@rsmas.miami.edu
- Wilson Medal for Early Career Impact Jake Covault – jake.covault@beg.utexas.edu
- Shepard Medal for excellence in marine geology TBD
- Moore Medal for excellence in paleontology Susan Kidwell – skidwell@uchicago.edu
- Pettijohn Medal for excellence in sedimentology/stratigraphy Steve Graham – sagraham@stanford.edu
- **Twenhofel Medal** for a career of excellence in sedimentary geology Judith A. McKenzie sediment@erdw.ethz.ch

All awards will be officially bestowed at the SEPM Annual Meeting during the AAPG ACE, Houston, TX, USA, Tuesday, April 4th, 2017

SEPM NEW MEDAL AWARD -THE DICKINSON MEDAL

The SEPM Council is adding a new Medal Award for 'mid-career' geoscientists and in doing so has made some adjustments to the existing awards.

Dickinson Medal - NEW MEDAL AWARD – Nominations opening soon!

Description: for recognition of a mid-career research geoscientist who is significantly influencing the sedimentary geology community with innovative work; with a track record of impactful publications, pioneering approaches and the establishment of an influential research program. Contributions to major shifts in scientific thinking, via original and innovative data generation, tools, and analyses, which help solve broad geological questions are hallmarks of a Dickinson Medal awardee.

The Award is named in honor of William R. Dickinson (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_R._ Dickinson), a sedimentologist, but his success and "fame" was achieved through integration of sedimentological analysis into numerous areas of research. He was a true pioneer in terms of using sedimentological data to solve problems related to tectonics and basin analysis. Bill was a true giant and it would be an honor for any person to be recognized with his medal from the SEPM.

Nominee Criteria:

- Must be > 5 and < 20 years from their PhD (or equivalent degree).
- Must have shown the necessary innovation and impact as described above.
- Nominations will last for three years or until the candidate no longer meets the criteria.

Wilson Award

Change: Candidates must be between 0-5 years from PhD (or equivalent degree); No age restrictions. All current nominees will be grandfathered until expiration of their nomination. All new or renominated candidates must meet the new criteria.

Shepard, Pettijohn and Moore Medals

Changes: All nominees to be between 20 and 29 years since PhD (or equivalent degree). All current nominees will be grandfathered until expiration of their nomination. All new or re-nominated candidates must meet the new criteria.

Twenhofel Medal

Changes: All nominees must have at least 30 years since PhD (or equivalent degree). All current nominees will be grandfathered until expiration of their nomination. All new or re-nominated candidates must meet the new criteria.

